UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2004

-----Commission file number 001-31539

ST. MARY LAND & EXPLORATION COMPANY (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) 41-0518430 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1776 Lincoln Street, Suite 700, Denver, Colorado 80203 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(303) 861-8140 (Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [x] No []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes [x] No []

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock as of the latest practicable date.

As of April 12, 2004, the registrant had 28,540,682 shares of common stock, \$0.01 par value, outstanding.

		ST.	MARY	LAND	&	EXPLORATION	COMPANY
						INDEX	
Part I.	FINANCIAL	INFOR	RMATIC	ON			

PAGE

	Item 1.	Financial Statements (Unaudited)
		Consolidated Balance Sheets - March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003
		Consolidated Statements of Operations - Three Months Ended March 31, 2004 and 20034
		Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - Three Months Ended March 31, 2004 and 20035
		Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income - March 31, 2004 and December 31, 20037
		Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements - March 31, 20048
	Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
	Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk (included within the content of Item 2)
	Item 4.	Controls and Procedures
II.	OTHER IN	FORMATION
	Item 1.	Legal Proceedings32
	Item 2.	Changes in Securities, Use of Proceeds and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities
	Item 6.	Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Part

ST. MARY LAND & EXPLORATION COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (UNAUDITED) (In thousands, except share amounts)

	Ма	rch 31,	Dec	ember 31,
ASSETS		2004		2003
Current assets: Cash and cash equivalents Short-term investments Accounts receivable	\$	17,806 11,500 70,375		14,827 12,509 65,084
Prepaid expenses and other Deferred income taxes Other		5,318 9,856 6,274		6,020 8,872 611
Total current assets		121,129		107,923
Property and equipment (successful efforts method), at cost: Proved oil and gas properties Less - accumulated depletion, depreciation and amortization Unproved oil and gas properties, net of impairment allowance of \$10,871 in 2004 and \$10,776 in 2003		880,592 (331,563) 75,716		858,246 (312,719) 61,484

Other property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$4,925 in 2004 and \$4,656 in 2003		4,291		4,276
		629,036		611,287
Noncurrent assets: Restricted cash subject to Section 1031 Exchange Other noncurrent assets		10,387 5,926		10,353 6,291
Total noncurrent assets		16,313		16,644
Total Assets	\$	766,478	Ş	
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY Current liabilities: Accounts payable and accrued expenses		74,278	s	81,217
Accrued derivative liability		25,708		
Total current liabilities		99,986		104,822
Noncurrent liabilities: Long-term credit facility Convertible notes Deferred income taxes Asset retirement obligation Other noncurrent liabilities and minority interest		31,000 99,720 98,126 26,036 14,963		11,000 99,696 90,947 25,485 13,251
Total noncurrent liabilities		269,845		240,379
Commitments and contingencies				
Temporary equity (Note 3): Common stock subject to put and call options, \$0.01 par value; issued and outstanding – $-0-$ shares in 2004 and 3,380,818 shares in 2003 Note receivable from Flying J Total temporary equity				71,594 (71,594)
Stockholders' equity: Common stock, \$0.01 par value: authorized - 100,000,000 shares; issued - 29,502,873 shares in 2004 and 29,245,123 shares in 2003; outstandin net of treasury shares - 28,504,373 shares in 2004 and 28,242,423	g,			
shares in 2003 Additional paid-in capital Treasury stock - at cost: 998,500 shares in 2004 and 1,002,700 shares		295 132,356		292 146,362
in 2003 Retained earnings Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(15,950) 296,386 (16,440)		
Total stockholders' equity		396,647		390,653
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	Ş	766,478	Ş	735,854

The accompanying notes are an intergral part of these consolidated financial statements.

-3-

ST. MARY LAND & EXPLORATION COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED) (In thousands, except per share amounts)

		r the Three March	31,	
		2004		2003
Operating revenues: Oil and gas production		92,607	Ş	95,688
Gain on sale of proved properties		195		36
Marketed gas revenue		3,573		3,775 1,445
Other oil and gas revenue Derivative gain		852		1,445
Other revenues		120		145
Total operating revenues		97,414		101,204
Operating expenses:				
Oil and gas production		23,543		21,130
Depletion, depreciation, amortization		20 626		10 005
and abandonment liability accretion Exploration		20,626 5,704		18,885 4,150
Abandonment and impairment of unproved properties		922		919
General and administrative		6,664		6,146
Marketed gas system operating expense		3,411		3,359
Minority interest and other		665		196
Total operating expenses		61,535		54,785
Income from operations		35,879		46,419
Nonoperating income and (expense):				
Interest income		144		230
Interest expense		(1,488)		(2,216)
Income before income taxes and cumulative				
effect of change in accounting principle Income tax expense		34,535 (13,086)		
Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle		21,449		27,362
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principal, net of income tax		-		5,435
Net income		21,449		
Basic weighted average common shares outstanding		29,775		30,354
Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding		34,191		34,861
Basic earnings per common share:				
Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle		0.72		0.18
Basic net income per common share	Ş	0.72	Ş	
Diluted earnings per common share:				
Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle		0.66		0.16
Diluted net income per common share	\$	0.66	Ş	0.97
Arch dividends destand and some shows				
Cash dividends declared per common share		-		-

The accompanying notes are an intergral part of these consolidated financial statements.

ST. MARY LAND & EXPLORATION COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED) (In thousands)

	Mar	ee Months Ended ch 31,
	2004	2003
Reconciliation of net income to net cash provided		
by operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 21,449	\$ 32,797
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net		
cash provided by operating activities:		
Gain on sale of proved properties	(195)	(36)
Depletion, depreciation and amortization	20,626	18,885
Exploratory dry hole expense	44	
Abandonment and impairment of unproved properties	922	
Unrealized derivative gain	(852)	
Mark to market of long-term compensation plans	2,160	774
Deferred income taxes	8,645	
Minority interest and other	159	(570)
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of tax	-	(5,435)
	52,958	
Changes in current assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(5,292)	(32,647)
Prepaid expenses and other	702	771
Refundable income taxes	(5,820)	1,031
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(2,630)	19,666
Current deferred income taxes	-	
Net cash provided by operating activities	39,918	42,254
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Proceeds from sale of oil and gas properties	483	108
Capital expenditures	(42,482)	(21,023)
Acquisition of oil and gas properties, including related		
\$71,594 loan to Flying J in 2003	(522)	(73,151)
Proceeds from short-term investments available-for-sale	1,000	
Other	49	13
Net cash used in investing activities	(41,472)	(94,053)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from credit facility	76.497	95,820
Repayment of credit facility	(56,500)	
Costs from issuance of convertible notes		
Proceeds from sale of common stock	3,942	(62) 998
Repurchase of common stock	(19,406)	-
Net cash provided by financing activities	4,533	57,936
Not observe in such and such successful lasts		
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	2,979 14,827	6,137
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	14,827	11,154
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 17,806	\$ 17,291

The accompanying notes are an intergral part of these consolidated financial statements.

- 5 -

ST. MARY LAND & EXPLORATION COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED) (Continued)

Supplemental schedule of additional cash flow information and noncash investing and financing activities.

investing and financing activities:	For the Three Months E March 31,				
	20	04		2003	
		(In the	usands)	
Cash paid for interest, including amounts capitalized	\$	3,778	Ş	3,809	
Cash (received) paid for income taxes	\$	9,883	Ş	(714)	
Cash paid for exploration expenses	\$	3,613	Ş	4,150	
Interest income included in restricted cash	\$	33	Ş	-	

In January 2004 the Company issued a total of 4,200 shares of common stock from treasury to its non-employee directors pursuant to the Company's non-employee director stock compensation plan. Compensation expense related to the shares issued is expensed over the period to which they relate. The Company recorded compensation expense of \$64,260 for the first quarter of 2004.

In January 2003 the Company issued 7,200 shares of common stock from treasury to its non-employee directors and recorded compensation expense of \$153,000.

In January 2003 the Company issued 3,380,818 restricted shares of common stock to Flying JOli 5 Gas Inc. and Big West Oli 5 Gas Inc. (collectively, "Flying J") and entered into a put and call option agreement, valued at \$995,000 for financial reporting purposes, with Flying J with respect to those shares in connection with the acquisition of oil and gas properties and related assets and liabilities.

The accompanying notes are an intergral part of these consolidated financial statements. -6-

ST. MARY LAND & EXPLORATION COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (UNAUDITED) (In thousands, except share amounts)

	Common S			Treasury		Retained	Accumulated Other Comprehensive	Total Stockholders'
	Shares			Shares	Amount	Earnings	Income (Loss)	
Balances, December 31, 2002	28,983,110	\$ 290	\$ 140,688	(1,009,900)	\$(16,210)			\$ 299,513
Comprehensive income: Net Income Unrealized net gain on marketable						95,575	-	95,575
equity securities available for sale	-	-	-	-	-	-	716	716
Change in derivative instrument fair value Reclassification to earnings Minimum pension liability	-	- -	-	- -	-	-	(21,873) 13,846	
adjustment	-	-	-	-	-	-	197	197
Total comprehensive income								88,461
Cash dividends, \$ 0.10 per share Issuance of stock under Employee	-	-	-	-	-	(3,150)	-	(3,150)
Stock Purchase Plan	16,994	-	375	-	-	-	-	375
Value of option right granted to Flying J Sale of common stock, including	-	-	995	-	-	-	-	995
income tax benefit of stock option exercises	245,019	2	4,304	-	-	-	-	4,306

Directors' stock compensation	-	-	-	7,200	153	-	-	153
Balances, December 31, 2003	29,245,123	\$ 292	\$ 146,362	(1,002,700)	\$(16,057)	\$ 274,937	\$ (14,881)	\$ 390,653
Comprehensive income: Net Income Change in derivative instrument	-	-	-	-	-	21,449		21,449
fair value Reclassification to earnings	-	_	-	-	-	-	(6,899) 5,340	(6,899) 5,340
Total comprehensive income								19,890
Repurchase of common stock Sale of common stock, including income tax benefit of stock option	-	-	(19,406)	-	-	-	-	(19,406)
exercises Directors' stock compensation	257,750	3	5,400	4,200	- 107	-	-	5,403
*								
Balances, March 31, 2004	29,502,873	\$ 295 =====	\$ 132,356	(998,500)	\$(15,950)	\$ 296,386	\$ (16,440)	\$ 396,647

The accompanying notes are an intergral part of these consolidated financial statements.

-

ST. MARY LAND & EXPLORATION COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

March 31, 2004

Note 1 - The Company and Business

St. Mary Land 6 Exploration Company ("St. Mary" or the "Company") is an independent energy company engaged in the exploration, development, acquisition and production of natural gas and crude oil. The Company's operations are conducted entirely in the continental United States.

Note 2 - Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of St. Mary have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for interim financial information. They do not include all information and notes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. However, except as disclosed herein, there has been no material change in the information disclosed in the notes to consolidated financial statements included in St. Mary's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation of the interim financial information have been included. Operating results for the periods presented are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full year.

The accounting policies followed by the Company are set forth in Note 1 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in the Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003. It is suggested that these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes included in the Form 10-K.

Note 3 - Earnings Per Share

Basic net income per common share of stock is calculated by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted-average of common shares outstanding during each period. During the first quarter of 2003, the Company issued 3,380,818 shares of common stock as part of an acquisition. On February 9, 2004, the Company repurchased these shares, and the shares were retired (see Note 10). These shares were considered outstanding for purposes of calculating basic and diluted net income per common share and were weighted accordingly in the calculation of common shares outstanding. The shares were included in the temporary equity section of the accompanying consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2003.

Diluted net income per common share of stock is calculated by dividing adjusted net income by the weighted-average of common shares outstanding and other dilutive securities. Adjusted net income is used for the if-converted method discussed below and is derived by adding interest expense paid on the Company's 5.75% Senior Convertible Notes due 2022 (the "Convertible Notes") back to net income and then adjusting for nondiscretionary items including the related income tax effect. Potentially dilutive securities of the Company's common stock and shares into which the Convertible Notes may be converted.

The treasury stock method is used to measure the dilutive impact of stock options. The table details the weighted-average dilutive and anti-dilutive securities related to stock options for the periods presented.

-8-

The dilutive effect of stock options is considered in the detailed calculation below. There were 611,678 and 616,014 anti-dilutive securities related to stock options for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Shares associated with the conversion feature of the Convertible Notes are accounted for using the if-converted method. Under the if-converted method, income used to calculate diluted earnings per share is adjusted for the interest charges and nondiscretionary adjustments based on income that would have changed had the Convertible Notes been converted at the beginning of the period. Potentially dilutive shares of 3,846,153 related to the Convertible Notes were included in the calculation of diluted net income per share for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2004 and 2003. The Convertible Notes were issued in March 2002.

The following table sets forth the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

	F	or the Three Marc	e Monti ch 31,	
		2004		2003
Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting		(In thousa per share	ands,	except
principle	\$	21,449	Ş	27,362
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of income tax		-		5,435
Net income		21,449		32,797
Adjustments to net income for dilution: Add: Interest expense not incurred if Convertible Note: converted Less: Other adjustments Less: Income tax effect of dilution items	5	1,580 (16) (593)		1,563 (16) (587)
Net income adjusted for the effect of dilution		22,420	Ş	33,757
Basic weighted-average common shares outstanding in period Add: Dilutive effects of stock option Add: Dilutive effect of Convertible Notes using if-				30,354 661

converted method		3,846		3,846
converted method		3,840		3,846
Diluted weighted-average common shares outstanding in period		34,191		34,861
1				
Basic earnings per common share:				
Income before cumulative effect of change in				
accounting principle	s	0.72	s	0.90
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle		-		0.18
Total	s	0.72	S	1.08
Diluted earnings per common share:				
Income before cumulative effect of change in				
accounting principle	s	0.66	S	0.81
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle		-		0.16
Total	Ş	0.66	Ş	0.97
	====			

-9-

Note 4 - Compensation Plans

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation using the intrinsic value recognition and measurement principles prescribed in Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" ("APB No. 25"), and related interpretations. No stock-based employee compensation expense is reflected in net income as all options granted under the Company's plans had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFS") No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," to stock-based employee compensation for the periods presented (in thousands, except per share amounts).

			31,	
		2004		2003
		thousands,		
Net income - As reported: Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense	Ş	21,449	Ş	32,797
included in reported net income, net of related tax effects Less: Stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards,		-		-
net of related income tax effects		(883)		(300)
Pro forma net income		20,566		32,497
Basic earnings per share - As reported: Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting				
principle Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle		0.72		0.90 0.18
Total	Ş	0.72	Ş	1.08
Pro forma: Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	\$ 	0.69	\$	0.90 0.18
Total	\$ =====	0.69		
Diluted earnings per share - As reported: Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting				
principle Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle		0.66		0.81 0.16
Total	\$ ====	0.66		0.97
Pro forma: Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	Ş	0.63	Ş	
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle		-		0.16
Total	\$ ====	0.63		

For The Three Months Ended March

-10-

For purposes of these pro forma disclosures, the estimated fair values of the options are amortized to expense over the options' vesting periods. The effects of applying SFAS No. 123 in the pro forma disclosure are not necessarily indicative of actual future amounts.

The fair value of options is measured at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The fair value of options granted in the three month periods ended March 31, 2004 and 2003 were estimated using the following weighted-average assumptions.

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2004	2003		
Risk free interest rate Dividend yield Volatility factor of the expected market price of	3.6% 0.3%	2.8% 0.4%		
the Company's common stock Expected life of the options (in years)	38.7% 7.6	39.9% 5.0		

The Black-Scholes option-pricing model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options that have no vesting restrictions, are fully transferable, and are not subject to trading restrictions or black out periods. In addition, option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions including the expected stock price volatility. Since the Company's employee stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options, and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, it is management's option that the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of St Mary's employee stock options.

The Company has not adopted any of the early transition methods provided for in SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation--Transition and Disclosure: and amendment of FASB Statement No. 123." The FASB has issued a final exposure draft that will require companies to recognize the fair value of stock options and other stock-based compensation as expense for reporting periods beginning in 2005. For awards issued prior to the effective date, the standard will require companies to utilize prior valuation models of fair value and recognize as expense the remaining unvested portion of the awards over the remaining vesting periods.

Income tax expense for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003 Income tax expense for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003 differ from the amounts that would be provided by applying the statutory U.S. Federal income tax rate to income before income taxes primarily due to the effect of state income taxes, percentage depletion, changes in the composition of income tax rates and other permanent differences. For the three month period ended March 31, 2004, the Company's current portion of income tax expense was \$5.9 million compared to \$11.3 million for the same period in 2003.

Note 6 - Long-term Debt

Revolving Credit Facility

The Company has a revolving credit facility with a group of banks. The credit facility specifies a maximum loan amount of \$300.0 million and has a maturity date of January 27, 2006. Borrowings under the facility are secured by a pledge in favor of the lenders of collateral that includes certain oil and gas properties and the common stock of the material subsidiaries of the Company. The bank group authorized a borrowing base of \$300.0 million in April 2004 under a

normal semi-annual redetermination. The borrowing base redetermination process considers the value of St. Mary's oil and gas properties and other assets, as determined by the bank syndicate. The Company elected an aggregate commitment amount of \$150.0 million. The Company must comply with certain financial and non-financial covenants. Interest and commitment fees are accrued based on the borrowing base utilization percentage table below. Eurodollar loans accrue interest at LIBOR plus the applicable margin from the utilization table, and Alternative Base Rate (ABR) loans accrue interest at Prime plus the applicable margin from the utilization table. Commitment fees are accrued on the unused portion of the aggregate commitment amount and are included in interest expense in the consolidated statements of operations. normal semi-annual redetermination. The borrowing base redetermination process

Borrowing base

utilization percentage	<50% =>50%<75%	=>75%<90%	>90%	
Eurodollar Loans ABR Loans Commitment Fee Rate	1.25% 0.00% 0.30%	1.50% 0.25% 0.38%	1.75% 0.50% 0.38%	2.00% 0.75% 0.50%

At March 31, 2004, the Company's borrowing base utilization percenta as defined under the credit agreement was 10.3%. The Company had \$17.0 million in Eurodollar loans and \$14.0 million in ABR loans outstanding under its revolving credit agreement as of March 31, 2004.

5.75% Senior Convertible Notes Due 2022

As of March 31, 2004, the Company also had \$100.0 million in outstanding borrowings under the Convertible Notes. The Convertible Notes provide for the payment of contingent interest of up to an additional 0.5% during six-month interest periods based on the note trading price before the beginning of the particular six-month period. Under that provision, interest was accrued at a total rate of 6.25% for the three-month period ending March 31, 2004. Based on the trading price of the Convertible Notes over the determination periods, the Company was subject to the contingent interest payments for the period from September 16, 2003, to March 15, 2004 and will be subject to the contingent interest payments for the period from March 16, 2004 to September 15, 2004.

The Convertible Notes contain a provision for payment of contingent interest if certain conditions are met. Under SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," this provision is considered an embedded equity-related derivative that is not clearly and closely related to the fair value of an equity interest and therefore must be separately treated as a derivative instrument. The value of the derivative at issuance of the Convertible Notes in March 2002 was \$474,000. This amount was recorded as a decrease to the Convertible Notes payable in the consolidated balance sheets. Of this amount, \$24,000 was amortized through interest expense for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2004 and 2003. Derivative gain in the consolidated statements of operations for the three months periods ended March 31, 2004 and 2003 includes net gain of \$4,000 and \$128,000, respectively, from mark-to-market adjustments for this derivative.

On October 3, 2003, the Company entered into fixed-to-floating interest rate swaps for a total notional amount of \$50.0 million through March 20, 2007. Under the swaps St. Mary will be paid a fixed interest rate of 5.75% and will pay a variable interest rate of 235 basis points above the six-month LIBOR rate as determined on the semi-annual settlement date. The 6-month LIBOR rate on March 15, 2004 was 1.16%, and the Company received proceeds of \$484,000 from the semi-annual settlement of the swaps on that date. The payment dates of the swaps match exactly with the interest payment dates of the Convertible Notes. The fair value of the swaps was an asset of \$728,000 as of March 31, 2004, and was a liability of \$104,000 as of December 31, 2003. The swaps do not qualify for fair value hedge treatment under SFAS No. 133 and related pronouncements. For the three-month period ended March 31, 2004, the Company recorded a net gain of approximately \$381.000 included in derivative gain in the consolidated statement of operations from mark-to-market adjustments for this derivative.

-12-

Weighted-average Interest Rate Paid

The weighted-average interest rates paid for the first quarters of 2004 and 2003 were 6.0% and 4.9%, respectively, including commitment fees paid on the unused portion of the credit facility aggregate commitment, amortization of deferred financing costs, and amortization of the contingent interest embedded derivative. The impact of the commitment fees over a lower average outstanding balance results in a higher weighted-average interest rate despite lower LIBOR interest rates than in provious guarters interest rates than in previous quarters.

Note 7 - Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company recognized a net loss of \$8.6 million from its oil and gas derivative contracts for the three months ended March 31, 2004, compared to net loss of \$10.6 million for the same period in 2003.

The Company has in place derivative contracts for the sale of oil and natural gas. The Company attempts to qualify the majority of these instruments as cash flow hedges for accounting purposes. The table below describes the volumes and average contract prices of hedges we have in place including hedges entered into after March 31, 2004. All of our oil and gas derivatives are swap agreements. The gas swaps are indexed to a variety of regional indexes, and the oil swaps are indexed to NYMEX.

	Gas	(per MMBtu)	Btu) Oil (per B				
Contract Month	Volumes	Weighted-Avera Contract Pric (Regional Inde	e	Weighted-Average Contract Price (NYMEX)			
April May June July August September	738,900 802,000 926,500 922,700 915,000 909,600	3.88 4.13 4.14 4.14 4.14	174,800 173,000 172,500 170,900 169,300	24.67 24.67 24.65 24.65 24.65 24.64			
October November December	907,100 816,800 812,600	4.15 4.26 4.26	165,200	24.64 24.64 24.64			
Total 2004	7,751,200	4.10	1,534,500	24.65			

2005				
T	105 600	5 (1	27 000	20.20

January	195,600	5.61	27,000	29.20
February	195,600	5.61	27,000	29.20
March	195,600	5.61	5,900	29.20
April	195,600	5.61	-	-
May	132,000	5.65	-	-
Total 2005	914,400	5.61	59,900	29.20
All Contracts	8,665,600	\$ 4.26	1,594,400	\$ 24.82
All Contracts	8,665,600	\$ 4.26	1,594,400	\$ 24.82

-13-

The Company seeks to minimize basis risk and indexes its oil hedges to NYMEX prices and its gas hedges to various regional index prices associated with pipelines in proximity to the Company's areas of gas production. The natural gas volumes associated with specific Inside FERC ("IF") regional indexes are as follows:

Index	MMBtu
IF ANR OK	4,170,600
IF CIG N System IF Henry Hub	2,023,600 1,571,400
IF Reliant N/S IF HSC	540,000 360,000
ir nac	
Total	8,665,600

The following table summarizes all derivative instrument activity (in thousands) .

	For the Three Months Ende March 31,			
		2004	2003	
		Gain	(Loss)	
Derivative contract settlements included in oil and gas production revenues Ineffective portion of hedges gualifying for	Ş	(8,599)	\$ (10,638)	
hedge accounting included in derivative gain		15	(13)	
Non-qualified derivative contracts included in derivative gain (loss) Amortization of contingent interest derivative		837	128	
through interest expense		(24)	(24)	
Total	\$., ,	\$ (10,547)	

On March 31, 2004, St. Mary's remaining cash flow hedge positions from oil and gas derivatives had an estimated net pre-tax liability of \$25.9 million. The Company anticipates it will reclassify this amount to gains or losses included in oil and gas production operating revenues as the hedged production quantity is produced. Based on current prices the net amount of existing unrealized after-tax loss as of March 31, 2004, to be reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to oil and gas production operating revenues in the next twelve months would be \$16.1 million, net of deferred income taxes. The Company anticipates that all original forecasted transactions will occur by the end of the originally specified time periods.

Note 8 - Pension Benefits

In December 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 132 (revised 2003), In December 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 132 (revised 2003), "Employers' Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits." This statement replaces FASB Statement No. 132, "Employers' Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits", and adds certain annual and interim period disclosure requirements. The provisions of this statement do not change the measurement and recognition provisions of FASB No. 87, "Employers' Accounting for Pensions", No. 88, "Employers' Accounting for Settlements and Curtailments of Defined Benefit Pension Plans and for Termination Benefits", and No. 106, "Employers' Accounting for Settlements have been incorporated herein.

The Company's employees participate in a non-contributory pension plan covering substantially all employees who meet age and service requirements (the "Qualified Pension Plan"). The Company also has a supplemental non-contributory pension plan covering certain management employees (the "Nonqualified Pension Plan").

-14-

Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost

	For the	Three Mont	hs Ended	March 31,		
	20	04		2003		
	(in thousands)					
Components of net periodic benefit cost:						
Service cost	Ş	285	Ş	241		
Interest cost		122		107		
Expected return on plan assets		(74)		(43)		
Amortization of prior service cost		(4)		(6)		
Amortization of net actuarial loss		54		82		
Net periodic benefit cost	Ş	383	Ş	381		

Prior service costs are amortized on a straight-line basis over the average remaining service period of active participants. Gains and losses in excess of 10% of the greater of the benefit obligation and the market-related value of assets are amortized over the average remaining service period of active participants.

Contributions

St. Mary previously disclosed in its financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2003, that it expected to contribute approximately \$987,000 to the pension plans in 2004. Presently, the Company still believes it will contribute this amount during 2004.

Note 9 - Asset Retirement Obligations

Effective January 1, 2003, the Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations." SFAS No. 143 generally applies to legal obligations associated with the retirement of long-lived assets that result from the acquisition, construction, development and/or the normal operation of a long-lived asset. SFAS No. 143 requires the Company to recognize an estimated liability for costs associated with the abandonment of its oil and gas properties.

As of January 1, 2003, the Company recognized the future cost to abandon oil and gas properties over the estimated economic life of the oil and gas properties in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 143. A liability for the fair value of an asset retirement obligation with a corresponding increase to the carrying value of the related long-lived asset is recorded at the time a well is completed or acquired. The Company depletes the amount added

to proved oil and gas property costs and recognizes accretion expense in connection with the discounted liability over the remaining life of the respective oil and gas properties. Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 143 the Company had recognized an abandonment liability for its offshore wells. These offshore liabilities were reversed upon adoption of SFAS No. 143, and the methodology described above was used to determine the liability associated with abandoning all wells, including those offshore.

The estimated liability is based on historical experience in abandoning wells, estimated economic lives, external estimates as to the cost to abandon the wells in the future, and federal and state regulatory requirements. The liability is discounted using a credit-adjusted risk-free rate. Revisions to the liability could occur due to changes in estimated abandonment costs or well economic lives, or if federal or state regulators enact new requirements regarding the abandonment of wells.

Upon adoption of SPAS No. 143, the Company recorded a discounted liability of \$21.4 million, reversed the existing offshore abandonment liability of \$9.1 million, increased property and equipment by \$12.8 million, decreased accumulated Depreciation, Depletion and Amortization ("DD&A") by \$8.3

-15-

million and recognized a one-time cumulative effect gain of \$5.4 million (net of deferred tax benefit of \$3.4 million). The Company depletes the amount added to property costs and recognized accretion expense in connection with the discontinued liability over the remaining estimated economic lives of the respective oil and gas properties.

As of March 31, 2004, the Company's capitalized proved oil and gas properties included \$41.1 million of estimated salvage value, which is excluded from the Company's DD&A calculation.

A reconciliation of the Company's liability for the three months ended March 31, 2004, is as follows (in thousands).

		For the Three March		is Ended
		2004	2003	
Beginning asset retirement obligation Liabilities incurred Liabilities settled Accretion expense	Ş	25,485 172 (86) 465	Ş	21,403 1,936 - 395
Ending asset retirement obligation	ş	26,036	Ş	23,734

Note 10 - Repurchase of Common Stock

On February 9, 2004, the Company repurchased 3,380,818 restricted shares of its common stock from Flying J Oil & Gas and Big West Oil & Gas, Inc. (collectively "Flying J") for a total of \$91.0 million. St. Mary originally issued these shares to Flying J on January 29, 2003, in connection with St. Mary's acquisition of oil and gas properties. In addition to issuing the shares in the acquisition, St. Mary loaned Flying J \$71.6 million. Flying J used the proceeds of the stock repurchase to repay their outstanding loan balance of \$71.6 million. Accrued interest, which had not been recorded by the Company for financial reporting purposes due to the non-recourse nature of the loan, was forgiven. The net \$19.4 million cash outlay for the repurchase was funded from the Company's existing cash balances and borrowings under its bank credit facility. Flying J

-16-

The following table shows the unaudited pro forma effects on the summarized consolidated balance sheet if the transactions had occurred on December 31, 2003. The table assumes that the Company would have borrowed the necessary cash payment from its existing credit facility.

		2003	Pro forma adjustments	2003		
Summarized Balance Sheet:			(In thousands)			
Current assets		107,923		Ş	107,923	
Property and equipment, net Other noncurrent assets		611,287 16,644			611,287 16,644	
Total Assets	Ş	735,854		Ş	735,854	
	====			====		
Current liabilities	s	104,822		ŝ	104,822	
Debt, including senior debt			\$ 19,406			
Other noncurrent liabilities, including minority interest		129,683			129,683	
Total Liabilities		345,201			364,607	
Restricted common stock held by Flying J Note receivable from Flying J		71,594 (71,594)	(71,594) 71,594		-	
Total Temporary Equity		-			-	
Total Equity		390,653	(19,406)		371,247	
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		735,854			735,854	
Selected Share Information: Total common shares outstanding, net of						
treasury shares including restricted shares		31,623	(3,381)		28,242	

-17-

Note 11 - Recently Issued Accounting Standards

Note 11 - Recently Issued Accounting Standards A reporting issue has arisen regarding the application of certain provisions of SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations", and SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets", to companies in the extractive industries, including oil and gas companies. The issue is whether the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") intended to require companies to classify the costs of mineral rights held under lease or other contractual arrangements associated with extracting oil and gas as intangible assets in the balance sheet, apart from other capitalized oil and gas property costs, and provide specific foctnote disclosures. Historically, St. Mary has included the costs of such mineral rights associated with extracting oil and gas as a component of oil and gas properties. The FASB has issued an exposure draft of a FASB Interpretation Release clarifying that leasehold costs may be considered an identifiable asset rather than an intangible. However, as the release is not yet final, the Company has presented the following unadited pro forma information disclosing what the effect of classifying the costs of mineral rights held under lease or other contractual arrangements associated with extracting oil and gas as a separate intangible assets line item on the balance sheet:

	March 31, 2004	December 31, 2003
	(In th	nousands)
Total current assets	\$ 121,129	\$ 107,923
Property and equipment		
Proved oil and gas properties	537,070	514,919
Less-accumulated depletion, depreciation and amortization Unproved oil and gas properties, net of	(211,430)	(198,717)
impairment allowance	37,603	24,691
Other property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation	4,291	4,276
	367,534	345,169
Restricted cash subject to Section 1031 Exchange Intangible leasehold, net Other noncurrent assets	10,387 261,502 5,926	10,353 266,117 6,292
Total Assets	\$ 766,478	\$ 735,854

-18-

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overview of the Company

General Overview

We are an independent energy company focused on the exploration, exploitation, acquisition and production of natural gas and crude oil in the United States. We earn our revenues and generate our cash flows from operations primarily from the sale at the wellhead of produced natural gas and crude oil. Our oil and gas reserves and operations are concentrated primarily in the Anadarko, Arkoma, Permian and various Rocky Mountain basins and the onshore Gulf Coast and offshore Gulf of Mexico. We maintain a balanced portfolio of proved reserves. reserves, development drilling opportunities and non-conventional gas prospects. As of December 31, 2003, we had estimated proved reserves of 593.7 BCFE, 89% of which were proved developed producing.

This report contains forward-looking statements. You should review our cautionary note about forward-looking statements at the end of this section.

Oil and Gas Prices

Our results of operations and financial condition are significantly affected by oil and natural gas commodity prices, which can fluctuate dramatically. We continued to benefit from robust oil and gas prices through the first quarter of 2004.

First Quarter 2004 Highlights

Gas prices have remained relatively high due to supply and transportation constraints resulting from the continuing maturity of gas producing basins of North America and from difficulties encountered in both obtaining access to the vast public lands of the western United States and building a pipeline to transport Alaskan natural gas to the lower 48 states. Oil prices continued to be very strong, reflecting low inventories, uncertainties resulting from OPEC announcements regarding their actions to curtail production, and crude oil refining constraints in the United States. NYMEX prices for the first quarter of 2004 averaged \$5.69 per NMEtu and \$3.15 per barrel, an increase of 24 percent for gas and 13 percent for oil compared to fourt quarter 2003. These prices were 14 percent lower for gas and 4 percent higher for oil than for the comparable period a year ago. As of March 31, 2004, the NYMEX strip for the remainder of the year was \$33.42 per barrel for oil and \$5.57 per MMEtu for gas.

Net income for the quarter ended March 31, 2004, was \$21.4 million or \$0.66 per diluted share compared to the 2003 results of \$27.4 million or \$0.81 per diluted share before the cumulative effect of change in accounting principle of an additional \$5.4 million or \$0.16 per diluted share of income. Net cash provided by operating activities was \$ 39.9 million, down 6 percent, from the \$42.3 million provided in 2003. Production increased 3 percent to 18.5 BCFE on a comparative quarter basis. Compared to the same period a year earlier, our average realized price decreased 6 percent to \$5.00 per MCFE. Unit costs increased for the period as lease operating expense (including taxes) increased \$0.10 to \$1.28 per MCFE, DD&A (including impairments) increased \$0.07 to \$1.12 per MCFE, and general and administrative expense increased \$0.02 to \$0.36 per MCFE.

-19-

On February 9, 2004, we repurchased 3,380,818 shares of our common stock from Flying J for a total of \$91.0 million. We originally issued these shares to Flying J on January 29, 2003, in connection with our acquisition of oil and gas properties. At that time we also loaned Flying J \$71.6 million. Flying J used the proceeds from the share repurchase to repay the outstanding loan balance. Accrued interest, which we had not been recording due to the non-recourse nature of the note, was forgiven as part of the transaction. The net \$19.4 million difference was funded from our available cash and from borrowings under our bank credit facility.

Outlook for the Remainder of 2004

Over the remainder of 2004, we will continue to execute our business plan, which includes:

- Capital expenditures increased to \$288 million. This \$15 million increase is due to drilling activity in the Andarko Basin in our Mid-Continent region. Of this amount, \$188 million is allocated to drilling, A table of budgeted amounts by core area is detailed under the capiton Capital Expenditure Budget. The 2004 exploration and development budget represents a 39 percent increase over the 2003 budget. We have not completed any acquisitions to date in 2004, but we continue to aggressively evaluate property acquisition packages, and as of the date of this filing our \$100 million acquisition budget is unchanged for 2004.
- Continuing development of our Hanging Woman Basin coalbed 0 Continuing development of our Hanging Woman Basin coalbed methane project. We have secured a contract for construction of a high-pressure pipeline to the project and are working on the remaining infrastructure items. We plan to commence drilling in May of 2004. An estimated 108 Wyoming wells are targeted for drilling this year. Roughly one-half of the wells to be drilled this year will be located on fee lands. We believe that non-fee permits will begin to be issued in August 2004, and we expect production of natural gas in commercial quantities to begin in 2005.
- Evaluation of 3-D seismic. In the first quarter of 2004, we received the processed 3-D seismic data that covers our entire 24,914 fee acreage position in St. Mary Parish, Louisiana. We are actively evaluating this data. In conjunction with the 3-D seismic shoot, we optioned 14,969 acres for lease primarily in the middle portion of our property where little exploration has historically taken place. Elections under the option agreement are due May 1, 2004.

A quarter-to-quarter overview of selected reserve, production and financial information, including trends:

Selected Operations Data (In Thous	ands, Exc	ept P	rice a						
		nths	Ended	March 3	1,	% of Chang			
	200	4		2003		Between Per			
Net Production Volumes									
 Natural Gas (Mcf)	11	. 613		11.70	04				
Oil (Bbl) MCFE	1 18	,141 ,456		11,70 1,04 17,95	41 51		3%		
Average Daily Production									
Natural Gas (Mcf per day)	127	,614		130,04	43				
Oil (Bbls per day) MCFE per day (6:1)	12 202	,533 ,812		130,04 11,50 199,45	68 53		2%		
Oil & Gas Production Revenues									
Gas Production		420	c	65 0	21				
Oil Production	ş 60 32	,439 ,168	Ş	65,93 29,75	57				
Total	\$ 92	,607	Ş	95,61	88	(3)%		
Oil & Gas Production Costs					==				
	\$ 15	177	c	13.8	72				
Lease Operating Expenses Transportation Costs	\$ 15 1 6	,737	~	1,3	89				
Production Taxes	6	,629		5,8	69				
Total	\$ 23	,543	Ş	21,1	30	1	1%		
Average Realized Sales Price, net									
Natural Gas (Per Mcf) Oil (Per Bbl)	\$ \$ 2	5.20 8.20	Ş Ş	5. 28.	63 58	(8 (1) 원) 원		
Per MCFE Data:									
Net Realized Price	Ş	5.02	Ş	5.3	33	(6) 원		
Lease Operating Expense	. (0.83)		(0.	77)		, 8 %		
Lease Operating Expense Transportation Costs	(0.09)		(0.0	08)	1	3%		
Production Taxes General and Administrative	(0.36)		(0.	33) 34)		98 68		
Operating Profit	\$ ======) %		
Depletion, Depreciation and									
Amortization	\$	1.12	Ş	1.0	05		7%		
Financial Information (In Thousand									
			Fo	r the Pe	eric	ods Ended		% of Chan	ge
		Ma	rch 31	, 2004		December 31	, 2003	Between Pe:	riods
Working Capital		 S	2	1.143	-	s 3	. 101	18% 2%	
Long-Term Debt		\$	13	0,720	ŝ	\$ 110	,696	18%	
Stockholders' Equity		\$	39	6,647	ŝ	\$ 390	,653	2%	
						ed March 31,		Change	
			2004			2003	Retween	n Periods	
Basic Net Income Per Common Share Diluted Net Income Per Common Shar		\$ \$	0	.72	ş	1.08 0.97	(2	33)% 32)%	
Basic Weighted-Average Shares Outs Diluted Weighted-Average Shares Ou	tanding tstanding		29, 34,	//5 191		30,354 34,861		(2) % (2) %	

(6) %

(56) % (92) %

Net Cash Provided By Operating Activities \$ Net Cash Used In Investing Activities \$ Net Cash Provided By Financing Activities \$ -21-

39,918 \$ (41,472) \$ 4,533 \$

42 254

(94,053) 57,936

We present the preceding table as a summary of information relating to those key indicators of financial condition and operating performance that we believe to be important.

Delleve to be important. We present per MCFE information since we use this information to evaluate our performance relative to our peers and to measure trends that we believe require analysis. Our period-to-period comparison of financial results presented later provides additional details for the per MCFE differences between quarters. For the rest of this year we expect oil and gas production expenses will remain fairly constant with respect to recurring costs and will decrease slightly for planned workover activity. Production taxes will likely be higher as a percentage in 2004 as a result of the benefit of severance tax holidays we realized in 2003. Depreciation, depletion and amortization will likely increase due to the higher costs associated with finding and acquiring crude oil and natural gas. General and administrative expense is projected to increase in the second quarter of 2004 following the anticipated grant of restricted stock. Assuming the restricted stock plan is approved by our stockholders, grants of restricted dtock will replace grants of stock options beginning in June 2004. We expect G&A per MCFE for all of 2004 will decrease relative to the first quarter. quarter.

The remaining information in the table relates to information we have provided in operations update press releases and is intended to supplement the discussion above.

Overview of Liquidity and Capital Resources

We continue to believe that we have sufficient liquidity and capital resources to execute our business plans for the foreseeable future.

Sources of cash

Our primary sources of liquidity are the cash provided by operating activities, debt financing, sales of non-strategic properties and access to the capital markets.

As of March 31, 2004, we had \$10.4 million of cash that is restricted. This cash is held in a 1031 deferred exchange account and is available for purchase of oil and gas properties.

Our current credit facility. The calculated borrowing base for our credit facility was increased to \$300.0 million in April 2004 following a normal semi-annual borrowing base review. We have elected a commitment amount of \$150.0 million under this facility, which results in lower commitment fees payable to the bank syndicate. We believe this commitment level is adequate for our near-term liquidity requirements. We must comply with certain financial and non-financial covenants, and we are currently in compliance with all of these covenants. Interest and commitment fees are accrued based on the borrowing base utilization percentage. LIBOR-based borrowings accrue interest at LIBOR plus the

applicable margin from the utilization table, and Alternate Base Rate borrowings accrue interest at prime plus the applicable margin from the utilization table located in Note 5 of Part IV, Item 15 of our December 31, 2003 report. Commitment fees are accrued on the unused portion of the aggregate commitment amount and are included in interest expense in the consolidated statements of operations. Our loan balance of \$31.0 million on March 31, 2004, was comprised of \$17.0 million of LBOR based borrowing and \$14.0 million of ABR borrowing. As of April 28, 2004, our total outstanding borrowings under the credit facility had been reduced to \$6.0 million.

We increased our net borrowings by \$20 million to \$130.7 million in the first quarter of 2004 primarily to fund the repurchase of our common stock from Flying J. Our weighted-average interest rate paid in the first quarter of 2004 was 6.0 percent and included commitment fees paid on the unused portion of the credit facility borrowing base, amortization of deferred financing costs, and amortization of the contingent interest embedded derivative associated with the convertible notes.

Interest Rate Risk. Market risk is estimated as the potential change in fair value resulting from an immediate hypothetical one-percentage point parallel shift in the yield curve. On October 3, 2003, we executed interest rate

-22-

swaps on a total notional amount of \$50.0 million of the convertible notes, which we expect will continue to result in lower interest expense through September of 2004 compared to last year unless interest rates rise significantly. The sensitivity analysis discussed below presents the hypothetical change in fair value of those financial instruments we held at March 31, 2004, that are sensitive to changes in interest rates. For fixed-rate debt, interest rate changes affect the fair market value but do not impact results of operations or cash flows. Conversely, interest rate changes for floating-rate debt generally do not affect the fair market value but do impact future results of operations and cash flows, assuming other factors are held constant. The carrying amount of our floating rate debt approximates its fair value. Giving consideration to the interest rate swaps, we had floating-rate debt of \$81.0 million and had \$50.0 million of fixed-rate debt at March 31, 2004. Assuming constant debt levels, the cash flow impact for the remainder of the year resulting from a one-percentage point change in interest rates would be approximately \$607,500 before taxes. The results of operations impact might be less than this amount as a direct effect of the capitalization of interest to wells drilled during the year. In prior years when our debt amount was a a reduced level we capitalized a larger percentage of our interest expense. Since we cannot predict the exact amount that would be capitalized, we cannot predict the exact effect that a one-percentage point shift would have on the results of operations.

Uses of cash

We use cash for the acquisition, exploration and development of oil and gas properties and for the payment of debt obligations, trade payables and stockholder dividends. In the first quarter of 2004 we spent \$42.4 million on capital development and a net \$19.4 million to acquire shares of our common stock using cash flows from operations and debt financing. Our net payables decreased by \$2.6 million, and we made \$9.9 million in cash payments for income taxes.

The following table presents amounts and percentage changes between the quarters ended March 31, 2004 and 2003 from our operating, investing and financing activities. The analysis following the table should be read in conjunction with our consolidated statements of cash flows in Part I, Item 1 of this report.

	Amount of Change 2004/2003	Percent of Change Between Periods
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ (2,336)	(6) %
Net cash used in investing activities	\$ 52,581	(56) %
Net cash provided by financing activities	\$ (53,403)	(92) %

Analysis of cash flow changes between the quarters ending March 31, 2004 and March 31, 2003

Operating activities. The difference above reflects a net decrease in sources of cash flow of approximately \$1.9 million caused by decreases in realized prices for both oil and gas between the quarters. Another \$1.9 million difference relates to net payments of income taxes, partially offset by net collections of accounts receivable in excess of decreased accounts payable balances. These amounts were partially offset by a \$1.4 million increase in the effect of the non-cash mark to market accrual of compensation expense under our long-term net profits interest bonus plan on net income between the two quarters.

Investing Activities. The decrease in net cash used results from the Flying J acquisition in the first quarter of 2003. Total 2004 capital expenditures, including acquisitions of oil and gas properties, decreased \$51.2 million or 54 percent to \$43.0 million compared to \$94.2 million in 2003.

Financing activities. The \$53.4 million decrease in cash provided reflects the net \$19.4 million we paid to repurchase our shares from Flying J on February 9, 2004 and decreased borrowing against our credit facility. In 2003 we borrowed to fund our acquisition of properties from Flying J. In 2004 we borrowed to fund the difference between the amount we paid to repurchase our

-23-

shares and the amount we received from Flying J when they repaid their loan. In 2004, we also received \$2.9 million more of proceeds from stock option exercises as compared to 2003.

St. Mary had \$17.8 million in cash and cash equivalents and had working capital of \$21.1 million as of March 31, 2004, compared to \$14.8 million in cash and cash equivalents and working capital of \$3.1 million as of December 31, 2003.

Capital Expenditure Budget

Expenditures for exploration and development of oil and gas properties and acquisitions are the primary use of our capital resources. We anticipate spending approximately \$288 million for capital and exploration expenditures in 2004 with \$100 million allocated for acquisitions of producing properties. Anticipated ongoing exploration and development expenditures and budgeted gross wells for each of our core areas area as follows. The timing of drilling and completion of wells is variable and will differ from these estimates.

		In millions	Well count
0	Mid-Continent region	\$ 73.7	60
0	Rocky Mountain region	51.7	66
0	ArkLaTex region	21.6	40
0	Gulf Coast region	18.4	16
0	Coal Bed Methane	12.2	108
0	Permian Basin region	10.0	30
		\$ 187.6	

We regularly review our capital expenditure budget to reflect changes in current and projected cash flow, acquisition opportunities, debt requirements and other factors. The above allocations are subject to change based on various factors and results.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the costs

incurred by us in our oil and gas activities during the periods indicated.

		Three Months	Ended M	larch 31,
		2004		2003
		(In th	ousands)	
Development costs	\$	34,446	Ş	20,575
Exploration costs		6,616		7,507
Acquisitions:				
Proved		694		76,466
Unproved		2,792		1,891
Total including asset retirement obligation	 Ş	44,548	 \$	106,439

Our costs incurred for capital and exploration activities in the quarter ended March 31, 2004, decreased \$61.9 million or 58 percent compared to the same period in 2003. This decrease reflects our closing of the Flying J property acquisition in the first quarter of 2003.

We spent \$43.9 million in 2004 for unproved property acquisitions and exploration and development costs compared to \$30.0 million in 2003, a 46% increase. This increase over 2003 reflects the planned increase in our drilling activity budget. We still have \$100.0 million of our budget allocated for acquisitions in 2004.

We are proceeding with the development of coalbed methane reserves in our Hanging Woman Basin project. We have 139,000 net lease acres in the basin and are concentrating our initial development on 65,000 net acres located in Wyoming. Our current development plan for this project considers only the Wyoming acreage. Outstanding legal challenges filed by environmental public

-24-

interest groups affect 47,000 net acres in Montana relating to this project. See Legal Proceedings under Part II, Item 1 of this report.

We believe that internally generated cash flow and our credit facility will be utilized in 2004 to fund our capital expenditures budget. The amount and allocation of future capital and exploration expenditures will depend upon a number of factors including the number and size of available acquisition opportunities, whether we can make an economic acquisition and our ability to assimilate acquisitions we are considering. Also, the impact of oil and gas prices on investment opportunities, the availability of capital and borrowing capability and the success of our development and exploratory activity could lead to funding requirements for further development.

Financing alternatives

In 2004 we are seeing that the debt and equity financing capital markets remain very attractive to energy companies who operate in the exploration and production segment. This is a result of relatively strong commodity prices and the general strength reflected in the balance sheets of the companies in this segment. We are not currently considering accessing the capital markets in 2004. However, if additional development or attractive acquisition opportunities arise that exceed our currently available resources, we may consider other forms of financing, including the public offering or private placement of equity or debt securities.

Sensitivity Analysis

There has been no material change to the natural gas and crude oil price sensitivity analysis previously disclosed. Please see the corresponding section under Part II Item 7 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.

Summary of oil and gas production hedges in place

Our net realized oil and gas prices are impacted by hedges we have placed on future forecasted transactions. We have historically entered into hedges of existing production around the time we make acquisitions of producing oil and gas properties. Our intent is to lock-in a significant portion of an equivalent amount of production to the prices we used to evaluate the economics of our acquisition. The percentage of our production that is hedged currently is a direct result of the timing of our acquisitions from Burlington and Flying J in late 2002 and early 2003, respectively. Aside from major acquisitions our discretionary hedging activity has been limited.

-25-

The table below describes the volumes and average contract prices of hedges we have in place including hedges entered into after March 31, 2004. All of our oil and gas derivatives are swap agreements. These hedges tend to make our earnings less sensitive to movements in commodity price and were factored in the analysis of sensitivity below.

	Gas	(per MMBtu)		Oil (per Bbl)
		Weighted-Average		Weighted-Average
Contract		Contract Price		Contract Price
Month	Volumoo	(Regional Index)		
MOTICI	vorumes	(Regional index)	vorumes	(NIPLA)
April	738,900	\$ 3.72	178,000	\$ 24.66
May	802,000	3.88	174,800	24.67
June	926,500	4.13	173,000	24.67
July	922,700	4.14	172,500	24.65
August	915,000	4.14	170,900	24.65
September	909,600	4.14	169,300	24.64
October	907,100	4.15	167,700	24.64
November	816,800	4.26	165,200	24.64
December	812,600	4.26	163,100	24.64
	7,751,200			24.65
2005				
January	195,600	5.61	27,000	29.20
February	195,600	5.61	27,000	29.20
March	195,600	5.61	5,900	29.20
April	195,600	5.61		-
May	132,000	5.65	-	-
Total 2005	914,400	5.61	59,900	29.20
		\$ 4.26	,,	

We anticipate that all hedge transactions will occur as expected.

The Company seeks to minimize basis risk and indexes its oil hedges to NYMEX prices and its gas hedges to various regional index prices associated with pipelines in proximity to the company's areas of gas production. The natural gas volumes associated with specific Inside FERC regional indexes are as follows:

Index	MMBtu
IF ANR OK	4,170,600
IF CIG N System	2,023,600
IF Henry Hub	1,571,400
IF Reliant N/S	540,000

360,000

For contracts in place on March 31, 2004, a hypothetical change of 10 percent in future gas strip prices representing a \$0.56 increase per MMBtu applied to a notional amount of 7.3 million MMBtu covered by natural gas swaps would cause a change in hedge gain or loss included in gas revenue of \$3.9 million in 2004 and \$209,000 in 2005. A hypothetical change of 10 percent in the future NYMEX strip oil prices representing a \$3.36 increase per Bbl applied to a notional amount of 1.6 MMBbl covered by crude oil swaps would cause a change in hedge gain or loss included in oil revenue of \$5.2 million in 2004 and \$190,000 in 2005.

-26-

Summary of interest rate hedges in place

We entered into fixed-rate to floating-rate interest rate swaps on \$50.0 million of convertible notes on October 3, 2003. As we do not believe we have the ability to predict interest rates, we attempt to maintain a balanced allocation between fixed and floating rate debt. As our usage of the credit facility at that time was nearing zero we elected to exchange fixed rate payments for floating rate payments on a portion of the interest on our convertible notes. This hedge does not quality for fair value hedge treatment under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." Excluding accrued payments due to us at March 31, 2004, the interest rate swaps had a fair value asset of \$728,000. Derivative gain in the consolidated statements of operations at March 31, 2004, includes \$833,000 of income related to the fair value asset increase. Unless we access our credit facility to make an acquisition or interest rates increase dramatically, interest expense through September 2004 should decrease compared to last year due to these fixed-to-floating interest rate swaps.

Schedule of contractual obligations

The following table summarizes our future estimated principal payments and minimum lease payments for the periods specified (in millions):

Contractual Obligations	Т	otal		than year	1-3	8 years	3-5	years	 than 5 ears
Long-Term Debt	Ş	131.0	ş	-	ş	31.0	ş	100.0	\$ -
Operating Leases		10.6		2.4		3.2		2.1	2.9
Other Long-Term Liabilities		10.4		1.0		0.5		0.2	8.7
Total	\$	152.0	\$	3.4	\$	34.7	\$	102.3	\$ 11.6

This table includes our 2004 estimated pension liability payment of approximately \$987,000, but excludes the remaining unfunded portion of \$1.7 million, as we cannot determine with accuracy the timing of future payments. The table does not include estimated payments associated with our net profits interest bonus plan. Although we record a liability for the estimated future payments, we are not able to precisely predict the timing of these amounts. We have not included asset retirement obligations for the same reason. Pension liabilities and asset retirement obligations are discussed in Note 8 and Note 9, respectively, of Part IV Item 15 of our Form 10-K for the year ending December 31, 2003, and also in Part I Item 1 of this report.

Two leases for office space will expire in year 2, and a third office space lease will expire in year 3. Estimated costs to replace these leases are not included in the table above. For purposes of the table we assume that the holders of our convertible notes will not exercise the conversion feature. If the holders do exercise their conversion feature, we will not have to repay the \$100.0 million. However, our common shares outstanding would increase by 3,846,150 shares.

Our projected requirements for cash to pay interest and dividends for the remainder of 2004 are \$5.6 million and \$3.0 million, respectively. We will also make cash payments for income taxes, dependent on net income and capital spending.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Aside from operating leases we do not have any off-balance sheet financing nor do we have any unconsolidated subsidiaries.

-27-

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

We refer you to the corresponding section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.

Additional Comparative Data in Tabular Format:

Oil and Gas Production Revenues	Three	Months Ended 2004 and 2003
Decrease in oil and gas production revenues (in thousands)	\$	(3,081)
Components of Revenue Increases (Decreases):		
Natural Gas		
Realized price change per Mcf Realized price percentage change Production change (WMcf) Production percentage change	ş	(0.43) (8)% (91) (1)%
011		
Realized price change per Bbl Realized price percentage change Production change (WBbl) Production percentage change	Ş	(0.38) (1)% 99 10%
Our product mix as a percentage of total oil and gas revenue	and produc	tion:
Three Months Ende	ed March 31	

Change Between the

Revenue	2004	2003	
Natural Gas	65%	69%	
Oil	35%	31%	
Production			
Natural Gas	63%	65%	
Oil	37%	35%	

Information regarding the effects of oil and gas hedging activity:

	Three Months	s Ended March 31,
Natural Gas Hedging	2004	2003
Percentage of gas production hedged	325	5 29%

Natural gas MMBtu hedged Decrease in gas revenue Average realized gas price per Mcf before hedging Average realized gas price per Mcf after hedging	4.1 million (\$3.1 million) \$ 5.48 5.20	3.8 million (\$6.7 million) \$ 6.20 5.63
Oil Hedging		
Percentage of oil production hedged	41%	58%
Oil volumes hedged (MBbl)	462	600
Decrease in oil revenue	(\$5.5 million)	(\$3.9 million)
Average realized oil price per Bbl before hedging	\$ 32.98	\$ 32.35
Average realized oil price per Bbl after hedging	28.20	28.58

-28-

Information regarding the components of exploration expense:

Summary of Exploration Expense (In millions)	2004		2003	
Geological and geophysical expenses Exploratory dry holes Overhead and other expenses	\$	0.7 0.2 4.8	\$	1.4 0.5 2.3
	Ş	5.7	Ş	4.2

Comparison of Financial Results and Trends between the Quarters ended March 31, 2004 and 2003 $\,$

Oil and Gas Production Revenues. Average net daily production increased 2 percent to 202.8 MMCFE for the guarter ended March 31, 2004 compared with 199.5 MMCFE for the guarter ended March 31, 2003. Wells completed in 2003 and 2004 combined with wells from acquisitions in 2003, including Flying J, have added revenue of \$23.1 million and average net daily production of 50.0 MMCFE in 2004 compared to 2003. These increases are offset by natural declines in production from older properties and result in the modest increase in production between the quarters presented.

Other Oil and Gas Revenue. Other oil and gas revenue decreased 95% to \$67,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2004, compared with \$1.4 million for the comparative quarter ended March 31, 2003. In 2003 we sold access rights to seismic data for \$900,000 and on a comparative basis estimated accrued income relating to settlement of future natural gas imbalances with our partners decreased \$417,000 from 2003 to 2004.

Oil and Gas Production Expenses. Total production costs increased \$2.4 million, or 11 percent, to \$23.5 million for the first quarter of 2004, from \$21.1 million in the comparable period of 2003. Wells completed in 2003 and 2004 combined with wells from acquisitions in 2003, including Flying J, added \$4.1 million of incremental production costs in 2004 that were not reflected in 2003. We expect to see the results of increased production from these wells in future periods. Additionally, we experienced an increase in production taxes consistent with an increase in revenue from crude oil.

Total oil and gas production costs per MCFE increased 0.10 to 1.28 compared with 1.18 for 2003. This increase is comprised of the for 2004 following:

o A \$0.03 increase in production taxes due to higher revenue from crude oil in our Rocky Mountain region; o A \$0.01 increase due to rising transportation costs in our Mid-Continent region; o A \$0.01 increase reflecting general increases in LOE per MCFE in our other core areas. o A \$0.06 increase in LOE that reflects our additions of higher cost oil properties in our; Rocky Mountain region through our acquisitions from Burlington and Flying J and o A \$0.01 overall decrease in LOE relating to workover charges.

General and Administrative. General and administrative expenses increased \$518,000 or 8 percent to \$6.7 million for the quarter ended March 31 2004, compared with \$6.1 million for the respective 2003 timeframe. Approximately \$2.2 million of the 2004 cost and \$774,000 of the 2003 cost is non-cash and relates to the mark-to-market effect of accruing compensation expense under our net profits interest bonus plan. The increase in cost on a pr MCFE basis reflects a higher percentage increase in G&A, primarily due to an increase in our compensation expense, than the proportionate increase in production of 3 percent for the period.

From December 31, 2002 to December 31, 2003 our employee count increased from 185 to 226. This increase has resulted in a general increase G&A of \$2.3 million between the first quarter of 2004 and the first quarter ral increase in

of 2003. That increase plus a \$1.0 million increase in expense associated with our incentive compensation plans was partially offset by a \$2.6 million increase in COPAS overhead reimbursement from operations and G&A we allocated to exploration expense. The increase in expense associated with our incentive compensation plans reflects both the benefit we have received from the current price environment for past employee performance and the performance of our employees during the current year. The increase in exploration expense reflects our increase in skilled technical staff. The technical staff was increased in order to integrate our acquisitions from 2002 and 2003 and to implement an increased drilling budget. The budget increase results from new projects identified from these acquisitions as well as other new drilling projects and discoveries during that timeframe.

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased by \$728,000 to \$1.5 million for 2004 compared to \$2.2 million for 2003. The decrease reflects the benefit of the interest rate swap that we entered into on October 3, 2003, offset in part by decreased average borrowings under our credit facility in 2004 relative to the prior year.

Income Taxes. Income tax expense totaled \$13.1 million for the first quarter of 2004 and \$17.1 million for the first quarter of 2003, resulting in effective tax rates of 37.9 percent and 38.4 percent, respectively. The effective rate change from 2003 reflects changes in the composition of the highest marginal state tax rates as a result of acquisition and drilling activity, percentage depletion and other permanent differences.

The current portion of the income tax expense in 2004 is \$5.9 million compared to \$11.3 million in 2003. These amounts are 45 percent and 66 percent of the total tax for the respective periods. We increased our 2004 budget for drilling expenditures over 2003 amounts but revenues are projected for a slight increase in 2004 over 2003. Therefore, we believe that current taxable income and the resulting current portion of income tax as a percentage of total income tax will be lower in 2004 than it was in 2003.

Accounting Matters

We recognized a \$5.4 million gain net of income tax in 2003 from the adoption of SFAS No. 143 effective January 1, 2003.

We refer you to Note 9 of Part I, Item 1 of this report for additional information

Environmental

St. Mary's compliance with applicable environmental regulations has not resulted in any significant capital expenditures or materially adverse effects on our liquidity or results of operations. We believe that we are in substantial compliance with environmental regularions and do not currently expect that any material expenditures will be required in the foreseable future. However, we are unable to predict the impact that future compliance with regulations may

-30-

Cautionary Note About Forward - Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q includes certain statements that may be deemed to be "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. All statements, other than statements of historical facts, included in this Form 10-Q that address activities, events or developments that St. Mary management expects, believes or anticipates will or may occur in the future are forward-looking statements. The words "will," "believe," "anticipate," "intend," "estimate," "expect," "project," and similar expressions are intended to identify forward - looking statements, although not all forward - looking statements contain such identifying words. Examples of forward-looking statements may include discussion of such matters as:

- the amount and nature of future capital, development and exploration expenditures,
 the drilling of wells,
 reserve estimates and the estimates of both future net revenues and the present value of future net revenues that are included and the present value of luture net rever in their calculation, future oil and gas production estimates, repayment of debt, business strategies, expansion and growth of operations,

- recent legal developments, and
- other similar matters.

These statements are based on certain assumptions and analyses made by us in light of our experience and our perception of historical trends, current conditions, expected future developments and other factors we believe are appropriate under the circumstances. Such statements are subject to a number of assumptions, risks and uncertainties, including such factors as the volatility and level of oil and natural gas prices, unexpected drilling conditions and results, production rates and reserve replacement, the imprecise nature of oil and gas reserve estimates, drilling and operating service availability and risks, uncertainties in cash flow, the financial strength of hedge contract counterparties, the availability of attractive exploration, development and property acquisition opportunities, financing requirements, expected acquisition benefits, competitions, and other matters discussed in the "Risk Factors" section of our 2003 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Readers are cautioned that actual results or developments may differ materially from those expressed or implied in the forward-looking statements. Although we may from time to time voluntarily update our prior forward - looking statements, we disclaim any commitment to do so except as required by securities laws. These statements are based on certain assumptions and analyses made by

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information required by this item is provided under the captions "Interest Rate Risk" and "Sensitivity Analysis" in Item 2 above and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDU

We maintain a system of disclosure controls and procedures that are We maintain a system of disclosure controls and procedures that are designed for the purposes of ensuring that information required to be disclosed in our SEC reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Vice-President - Finance, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the

-31-

Vice-President - Finance, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of Vice-President - Finance, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Vice-President - Finance concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective for the purposes discussed above as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. There was no significant change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during our most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting reporting.

PART IT OTHER INFORMATION

From time to time, we may be involved in litigation relating to claims arising out of our operations in the normal course of business. As of the date of this report, no legal proceedings are pending against us that individually or collectively could have a material adverse effect upon our financial condition or results of operations.

Collectively could have a material adverse effect upon our linancial condition or results of operations. As previously reported Nance Petroleum Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary is named along with several other leaseholders and interested parties as an additional co-defendant in a lawsuit that was originally filed in the U.S. District Court for the District of Montana on June 12, 2001. The plaintiff, the Northern Plains Resource Council, Inc., an environmental public interest group, sued the U.S. Bureau of Land Management, the U.S. Secretary of the Interior, the Montana BLM State Director and Fidelity Exploration 6 Production Company. The lawsuit seeks the cancellation of all federal leases related to coalbed methane development in Montana issued by the BLM since January 1, 1997. This cancellation is sought primarily on the grounds of an alleged failure of the BLM to comply with federal environmental laws. NPRC alleges that the environmental impacts of coalbed methane development were not properly analyzed before the challenged leases were issued. The Montana portion of our Hanging Woman Basin coalbed methane project contains approximately 74,000 total net acres. The lawsuit potentially affects approximately 47,000 net acres that are subject to federal leases. Based on information presently available, we believe that the ELM complied with the applicable environmental laws, and the District Court agreed by granting the defendants' motion for summary judgment in December 2003. The court held that the issuance process regarding the federal leases in question complied with the applicable environmental laws. The plaintiff has appealed this decision and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals has granted expedited status to this appeal. Briefing in this case is now complete. No decision has been made as to when the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals will render a decision. Notwithstanding our success in the lower court, there is no assurance as to the ultimate outcome of the lawsuit, and therefore, there is no assurance

ITEM 2. Changes in Securities, Use of Proceeds and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

(C) In January 2004, St. Mary issued a total of 4,200 restricted shares of In variable your, st. mary issues a total of 4,200 festilities of common stock valued at \$107,000 from treasury to its non-employee directors pursuant to the Company's non-employee director stock compensation plan. Compensation expense related to the shares issued is amortized over the period of service as members of the board of directors to which the stock grant relates. St. Mary recorded directors to which the stock grant relates. St. Mary recorded compensation expense of \$64,000 related to the issuance of these shares during the quarter ended March 31, 2004. These shares were not registered under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on Rule 506 of Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act since the directors are accredited investors and certificates representing the shares bear a legend restricting the transfer of those shares.

The following table provides information about purchases by the Company during the quarter ended March 31, 2004, of shares of the Company's common stock, which is the sole class of equity securities registered by the Company pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act. (e)

ISSUER PURCHASES OF EOUITY SECURITIES

Period	(a) Total Number of Shares Purchased	(b) Average Price Paid per Share	(c) Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Program (1)	(d) Maximum number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Program (1)
01/01/04 - 01/31/04	- 0 -	\$ - 0 -	- 0 -	990,100
02/01/04 - 02/29/04	3,380,818 (2)	\$ 26.92 (2)	- 0 -	990,100
03/01/04 - 03/31/04	- 0 -	- 0 -	- 0 -	990,100
Total:	3,380,818	\$ 26.92	- 0 -	990,100

- On August 7, 1998, we announced that our board of directors authorized a stock repurchase program whereby we may purchase from time-to-time, in open market transactions or negotiated sales, up to 2,000,000 shares of our common stock (as adjusted to reflect a two-for-one stock split effected in the form of a stock dividend distributed in September 2000). Through 2001 we had repurchased a total of 1,009,900 shares under this program for \$16.2 million at a weighted-average price of \$15.86 per share. We have not made any purchases under this program since 2001. Additional purchases of shares may occur as market conditions warrant. We expect future purchases will be funded with internal cash flow and borrowings under our credit facility. (1)
- Apart from our publicly announced share repurchase program, on February 9, 2004, we repurchased from Flying J Oil & Gas Inc. and Big West Oil & Gas Inc. 3, 380,818 restricted shares of our common stock for a total of \$91,000,000, or \$26,92 per share. The repurchase was the result of exercising a call option on our stock. We had issued the shares to Flying J and Big West on January 29, 2003, in connection with our acquisition of oil and gas properties. In addition to issuing the shares, we had loaned Flying J and Big West \$71,594,000. This loan was completely repaid by Flying J and Big West as part of the share repurchase transaction. The \$19,406,000 cash payment for the share funded from our existing cash balances and borrowings under our bank credit facility. (2) credit facility.

The payment of dividends and stock repurchases are subject to covenants in our bank credit facility, including the requirement that we maintain certain levels of stockholders' equity and the limitations of our annual dividend rate to no more than \$0.20 per share.

-33-

ITEM 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

Exhibits (a)

The following exhibits are furnished as part of this report:

Exhibit Description

- 31.1*
- 31.2*
- Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 Certification of Vice President Finance pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 Certification pursuant to U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 32.1*
- Filed with this Form 10-Q.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K

St. Mary Land & Exploration Company filed the following current reports on Form 8-K during the quarter ended March 31, 2004:

On January 23, 2004, we filed a current report on Form 8-K reporting under Item 12 that we had issued a press release on January 22, 2004, announcing our 2004 capital expenditures budget, year-end 2003 reserves and an update on our operations for the fourth quarter and full year of 2003.

On February 10, 2004, we filed a current report on Form 8-K reporting under Item 5 that we had issued a press release on February 9, 2004, announcing our repurchase of 3,380,818 restricted shares of 5t Mary common stock from Flying J Oil & Gas Inc. and Big West Oil & Gas Inc for \$91,000,000 or \$26.92 per share.

On February 26, 2004, we filed a current report on Form 8-K reporting under Item 12 that we had issued a press release February 26, 2004, announcing our full year and fourth quarter 2003 financial results and reaffirming our forecast for the first quarter and full year of 2004.

On March 15, 2004, we filed a current report on Form 8-K reporting under Item 5 that we had issued a press release dated March 12, 2004, announcing the accrual of additional contingent interest on our 5.75% senior convertible notes due

-34-

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

ST. MARY LAND & EXPLORATION COMPANY

April 30, 2004

Bv: /s/ MARK A. HELLERSTEIN Mark A. Hellerstein President and Chief Executive Officer

April 30, 2004	Ву:	/s/ DAVID W. HONEYFIELD David W. Honeyfield Vice President - Finance, Secretary and Treasurer
April 30, 2004	By:	/s/ GARRY A. WILKENING

-35-

I, Mark A. Hellerstein, certify that:

- I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of St. Mary Land & Exploration Company;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (c) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: April 30, 2004

/S/ MARK A. HELLERSTIN

Mark A. Hellerstein Chief Executive Officer I, David W. Honeyfield, certify that:

- I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of St. Mary Land & Exploration Company;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (c) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: April 30, 2004

/S/ DAVID W. HONEYFIELD

David W. Honeyfield Vice President - Finance

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of St. Mary Land & Exploration Company (the "Company") for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), Mark A. Hellerstein, as Chief Executive Officer of the Company, and David W. Honeyfield, as Vice President - Finance of the Company, each hereby certifies, pursuant to and solely for the purpose of 18 U.S.C. ss. 1350, as adopted pursuant to ss. 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, to the best of his knowledge and belief, that:

(1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m or 78o(d)); and

(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/S/ MARK A. HELLERSTIN

Mark A. Hellerstein Chief Executive Officer April 30, 2004

/S/ DAVID W. HONEYFIELD

David W. Honeyfield Vice President - Finance (principal financial officer) April 30, 2004